# Public Information Precision and Borrower Risk-Taking Implications for Financial Reporting Regulations

*by* Muhammad Rizal

**Submission date:** 01-Aug-2024 09:20AM (UTC+0700)
**Submission ID:** 2425578075
**File name:** VOL.1_NO_3_JULY_2024_PAGE_39-47.docx (51.38K)
**Word count:** 2994
**Character count:** 20378

International Journal of Management, Accounting & Finance
Volume. 1 No. 3 July 2024
e-ISSN : 3048-1104, dan p-ISSN : 3048-1112, Hal. 39-47
DOI: ---
Available online at: https://jurnal-mnj.stiekasihbangsa.ac.id/index.php/KBIJMAF

# Public Information Precision and Borrower Risk-Taking Implications for Financial Reporting Regulations

**Muhammad Rizal[1*], Eri Kusnanto[2]**
[1,2] Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Kasih Bangsa, Indonesia
*m.rizal@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id

Address: 8, Jl. Dr. Kasih No. 1, RT. 8/RW. 1, Kb. Jeruk, Kec. Kb. Jeruk, West Jakarta City, Special Capital Region of Jakarta 11530
*Author correspondence: m.rizal@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id*

***Abstract**. This research explores the relationship between public information precision, borrower risk-taking behavior, and financial reporting regulations. It examines how varying levels of accounting disclosures influence creditor-borrower dynamics in financial markets. Enhanced precision in public information, such as accounting earnings, promotes market efficiency by reducing information asymmetry and improving creditors' ability to accurately assess borrower creditworthiness. While higher precision generally mitigates borrower risk-shifting tendencies, regulatory context and economic conditions modulate these effects. This literature review systematically identifies and analyzes peer-reviewed articles on forecast dispersion, accuracy, and their implications for cross-sectional return anomalies in financial markets. The findings reveal that higher forecast dispersion is linked to greater uncertainty and perceived risk, leading to higher expected returns, while accurate forecasts reduce information asymmetry and improve market efficiency. Differences in forecast precision significantly contribute to market anomalies. In conclusion, forecast dispersion and accuracy are critical in explaining cross-sectional return anomalies. Future research should refine models, explore behavioral biases, and evaluate technological advancements, emphasizing balanced financial reporting regulations to harness transparency benefits while mitigating potential costs during economic expansions.*

***Keywords** Financial reporting regulations, public information precision, borrower risk-taking, creditor dynamics, information asymmetry*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the realm of financial markets, the precision of public information, particularly accounting earnings, plays a crucial role in shaping lending dynamics and borrower risk-taking behaviors. This qualitative literature review explores the intricate relationship between public information precision and its implications for borrower risk-taking within the context of financial reporting regulations. Debt financing often creates incentives for borrowers to undertake risky ventures, especially when economic conditions deteriorate. Such risk-taking, termed as asset substitution or risk shifting, poses significant challenges to creditors who seek to safeguard their investments. The presence of privately informed creditors exacerbates this challenge, as they possess superior knowledge about the borrower's creditworthiness, potentially leading to adverse selection and moral hazard issues in lending markets (Rajan, 1992). However, the disclosure of public information, such as accounting earnings, serves to level the playing field among creditors by providing a common basis for assessing borrower risks. This transparency theoretically reduces the asymmetric information problem and enhances market efficiency (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). Yet, the precise impact of public information hinges critically on its accuracy and the competitive dynamics among lenders.

*Received: July 30, 2024; Revised: July 15, 2024; Accepted: July 29, 2024; Published: July 31, 2024*

Despite extensive empirical evidence on the role of public information in debt contracting (Eisdorfer, 2008), the literature lacks a comprehensive review that systematically examines how variations in the precision of public signals influence borrower risk-taking behaviors across different economic scenarios. Understanding these dynamics is crucial for designing effective financial reporting regulations that balance the need for transparency with the imperative to mitigate systemic risks in financial markets. This review aims to synthesize existing theoretical frameworks and empirical findings to address several key objectives. One primary objective is to evaluate the impact of public information precision by analyzing how changes in the precision of public information affect the severity of asset substitution problems in lending markets, especially during economic downturns. By examining these dynamics, the review seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how varying levels of accounting disclosures influence creditor-borrower interactions and overall market efficiency.

The theoretical foundation of this review draws upon principal-agent theory and financial contracting literature. In particular, it integrates insights from models of asymmetric information and risk management in debt markets (Armstrong et al., 2010; Li, 2017). The framework considers how creditors strategically bid in environments where public signals about borrower performance are imperfect but influential in determining loan terms. The impact of financial strategies such as tax avoidance and profitability on firm value also provides a complementary perspective, as explored by Chaidir and Yulia (2022). Their findings on the effects of tax avoidance, profitability, and debt to equity ratio on firm value add another layer of understanding to the broader financial dynamics.

This qualitative review adopts a systematic approach to synthesizing relevant literature published in peer-reviewed journals and scholarly books. It critically assesses theoretical models, empirical studies, and policy analyses that explore the nexus between public information precision and borrower risk-taking behaviors. Key themes include the role of accounting quality in mitigating informational frictions and the unintended consequences of regulatory interventions during different phases of the economic cycle (Bertomeu et al., 2011; Corona et al., 2015). The exploration of diversity management strategies within multinational corporations by Ruslaini, Santoso, and Marhandrie (2021) further enriches the discussion, highlighting how varied management approaches can influence financial outcomes. Additionally, the review considers the role of performance measurement in the banking industry, as discussed by Kusnanto (2022), who emphasizes sustainable leadership, corporate governance, and human capital. These elements are critical in understanding how banks can manage risk and maintain stability in volatile markets.

This review underscores the critical importance of public information precision in shaping borrower risk-taking behaviors and creditor dynamics within financial markets. By synthesizing theoretical insights and empirical evidence, it aims to provide policymakers, researchers, and practitioners with a nuanced understanding of how financial reporting regulations can be optimized to foster sustainable economic growth while mitigating financial instability.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Public information, particularly accounting earnings, plays a pivotal role in influencing borrower risk-taking behaviors and creditor dynamics within financial markets. This qualitative review examines the implications of public information precision on financial reporting regulations and its impact on risk shifting in lending environments. Recent research highlights the critical role of public information in mitigating adverse selection and moral hazard issues in debt contracting (Armstrong et al., 2010). Accounting disclosures provide transparency that aids creditors in assessing borrower creditworthiness, thereby enhancing market efficiency (Jensen & Meckling, 1976). However, the effectiveness of such disclosures depends significantly on their precision and the competitive dynamics among lenders.

Theoretical frameworks suggest that variations in the precision of public signals, such as accounting information, can significantly alter borrower incentives regarding risk-taking. For instance, studies indicate that asymmetrically informed creditors may exploit gaps in public information to engage in risk-shifting behaviors (Baldenius, Deng, & Li, 2024). This phenomenon, known as asset substitution, occurs when borrowers undertake riskier projects than those disclosed, thereby jeopardizing creditor interests (Corona et al., 2015).

Empirical evidence underscores the dual role of accounting quality in debt contracting: while it enhances transparency, thereby reducing information asymmetry (Bushman et al., 2012), it also introduces complexities related to creditors' ability to accurately assess borrower risk profiles (Doblas-Madrid et al., 2013). Moreover, regulatory interventions aimed at improving financial reporting standards often face trade-offs between enhancing market transparency and avoiding unintended consequences, such as increased risk-taking during economic downturns (Bertomeu et al., 2011). The study by Baldenius, Deng, and Li (2024) contributes significantly by exploring how asymmetric information among creditors influences risk-shifting behaviors. Their findings suggest that when creditors possess private information not reflected in public disclosures, they may strategically bid in ways that exacerbate risk-taking by borrowers. This insight is crucial for designing regulatory frameworks that

effectively balance the need for information transparency with the imperative to mitigate systemic risks (Li, 2017).

## 3. METHODS

This qualitative literature review employs a systematic approach to synthesize and analyze existing scholarly works related to the effects of public information precision on borrower risk-taking behaviors and its implications for financial reporting regulations. Articles selected for review are screened based on their relevance to the study's focus on the role of accounting disclosures in influencing creditor dynamics and borrower risk management strategies. Emphasis is placed on recent publications to ensure the inclusion of up-to-date insights and findings (Armstrong et al., 2010). The inclusion criteria were designed to select studies that directly investigate the role of public information, particularly accounting disclosures, in financial markets. Excluded were articles that did not focus on these specific variables or lacked empirical rigor. This ensures that the review remains focused and relevant to the research objectives outlined in the introduction.

Data extraction involves categorizing and summarizing key themes and empirical findings from each selected study. Special attention is given to studies that explore the mechanisms through which public information, such as earnings reports and financial disclosures, impacts creditor decision-making processes and borrower behavior (Baldenius et al., 2024). By adopting a rigorous methodology that integrates recent research findings with foundational theories, this literature review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how variations in public information precision influence creditor-borrower dynamics and regulatory outcomes within financial markets.

## 4. RESULTS

Public information precision, particularly in the form of accounting disclosures, plays a crucial role in shaping borrower risk-taking behaviors and influencing financial reporting regulations. This review synthesizes existing scholarly works to elucidate the complex dynamics and implications of public information precision within financial markets. Public information, such as earnings reports and financial disclosures, serves as a mechanism to reduce information asymmetry between creditors and borrowers. It provides transparency that aids creditors in assessing the creditworthiness of borrowers and pricing their loans accordingly (Jensen & Meckling, 1976; Bushman et al., 2012). However, the effectiveness of public

information in mitigating risk-taking behaviors depends significantly on its precision and the competitive dynamics among creditors.

Studies indicate that variations in public information precision influence borrower incentives regarding risk management strategies. Asymmetrically informed creditors may exploit gaps in public information to engage in risk-shifting behaviors, wherein borrowers undertake riskier projects than those disclosed (Baldenius et al., 2024). This phenomenon, known as asset substitution, poses challenges for creditors in accurately assessing borrower risk profiles and pricing loans accordingly (Corona et al., 2015). The regulatory implications of public information precision are multifaceted. Enhanced precision in accounting disclosures can improve market transparency and reduce adverse selection and moral hazard issues in debt contracting (Armstrong et al., 2010). However, stringent regulatory requirements aimed at improving information precision must strike a balance between enhancing market efficiency and avoiding unintended consequences, such as increased risk-taking during economic downturns (Bertomeu et al., 2011). Empirical studies underscore the dual role of accounting quality in debt contracting. While it enhances transparency and market efficiency, it also introduces complexities related to the accurate assessment of borrower risk profiles (Doblas-Madrid et al., 2013). The synthesis of literature reveals that variations in public information precision significantly impact creditor-borrower dynamics and regulatory outcomes within financial markets.

This qualitative literature review highlights the critical importance of public information precision in influencing borrower risk-taking behaviors and shaping financial reporting regulations. By synthesizing theoretical insights and empirical findings, it provides a comprehensive understanding of the implications of public information precision for financial market stability and regulatory policies.

## 5. DISCUSSION

Public information, particularly in the form of accounting disclosures, serves as a cornerstone in debt contracting and financial markets. It provides transparency that aids creditors in assessing borrower creditworthiness and pricing loans accordingly (Jensen & Meckling, 1976; Bushman et al., 2012). The precision of this information is crucial, as it influences the accuracy of creditor decisions and their ability to mitigate risks associated with borrower behavior. Empirical studies have consistently highlighted the positive impact of enhanced public information precision on market efficiency and borrower transparency. For instance, Armstrong et al. (2010) demonstrate that high-quality financial reporting reduces

information asymmetry between creditors and borrowers, thereby enhancing market discipline and reducing the incidence of opportunistic behavior.

The reviewed literature underscores that variations in public information precision significantly influence borrower risk-taking behaviors. Asymmetrically informed creditors exploit gaps in public information to engage in risk-shifting strategies, where borrowers undertake riskier projects than disclosed (Baldenius et al., 2024). This behavior, termed asset substitution, poses challenges for creditors in accurately assessing borrower risk profiles and pricing loans accordingly (Corona et al., 2015). Studies by Doblas-Madrid et al. (2013) and Bertomeu et al. (2011) provide empirical evidence suggesting that stringent regulatory requirements aimed at improving information precision can mitigate moral hazard and adverse selection issues in debt contracting. However, these regulations must strike a delicate balance to avoid unintended consequences, such as reduced risk-taking during economic downturns.

The regulatory implications of public information precision are multifaceted. Enhanced transparency through high-quality financial reporting can improve market efficiency and reduce systemic risks (Armstrong et al., 2010). However, overly stringent regulations may stifle innovation and investment, particularly during economic expansions when risk appetite naturally increases (Bertomeu et al., 2011). Comparative studies, such as those by Li (2017) and Burkhardt et al. (2009), emphasize the trade-offs involved in regulating public information precision. While stricter regulations can mitigate information asymmetry and enhance market stability, they may also impose compliance costs that deter firms from disclosing crucial information voluntarily. Synthesizing empirical findings reveals a nuanced understanding of how public information precision impacts creditor-borrower dynamics and regulatory outcomes. Studies consistently demonstrate that enhanced precision in accounting disclosures facilitates more accurate creditor assessments of borrower risk profiles (Doblas-Madrid et al., 2013). This, in turn, contributes to better pricing of loans and reduces the incidence of adverse selection in financial markets (Bushman et al., 2012).

Moreover, the reviewed literature highlights the role of public information in influencing borrower behavior throughout economic cycles. During periods of economic downturns, creditors rely more heavily on accurate financial disclosures to gauge borrower creditworthiness and manage risk exposures effectively (Bertomeu et al., 2011). Conversely, in boom times, relaxed regulatory environments may inadvertently encourage excessive risk-taking behavior among borrowers (Li, 2017). Comparative analyses with previous studies provide deeper insights into the evolving understanding of public information precision and its implications. For instance, research by Christensen et al. (2016) and Corona et al. (2015)

suggests that variations in information quality affect interbank competition and bank risk-taking behavior differently across different regulatory environments.

Furthermore, the study by Baldenius et al. (2024) contributes to the literature by examining how asymmetric information among creditors influences risk-shifting behaviors among borrowers. Their findings underscore the importance of considering creditor incentives and information asymmetry in designing effective regulatory frameworks that balance market efficiency with financial stability. Despite the valuable insights gained from the reviewed literature, several limitations warrant consideration. First, the majority of studies focus on developed markets, limiting the generalizability of findings to emerging economies with different regulatory frameworks and market structures. Future research could explore these dynamics in diverse economic contexts to enhance the applicability of findings. Second, while qualitative reviews provide a comprehensive synthesis of existing knowledge, they are susceptible to selection biases inherent in the literature search process. Future studies could employ meta-analytic techniques to quantitatively synthesize findings across a broader range of studies and methodologies.

## 6. CONCLUSION

This review underscores the critical role of public information, particularly accounting disclosures, in shaping borrower risk-taking behaviors and influencing regulatory frameworks within financial markets. Enhanced precision in public information, such as accounting disclosures, facilitates more accurate assessments of borrower creditworthiness by creditors. This contributes to improved market efficiency and reduced information asymmetry. Variations in public information precision significantly influence borrower risk-taking behaviors, with higher precision generally associated with reduced risk-shifting tendencies among borrowers. However, the regulatory environment and economic conditions also play pivotal roles in shaping these behaviors. Effective financial reporting regulations are crucial in balancing the benefits of enhanced transparency with potential costs such as compliance burdens and reduced risk-taking incentives during economic expansions. Comparative studies with previous research highlight the evolving understanding of how public information quality impacts creditor-borrower dynamics across different regulatory environments and economic cycles.

## 7. LIMITATION

Despite the insights gained, the review is subject to several limitations. The majority of studies reviewed focus on developed markets, limiting the generalizability of findings to emerging economies and other diverse contexts with different regulatory frameworks. Qualitative reviews rely on the availability and quality of existing literature, which may introduce selection biases and hinder a fully exhaustive analysis. Addressing these limitations, future research could explore the dynamics of public information precision in emerging markets, conduct meta-analytic reviews to quantitatively synthesize findings, and investigate the long-term impacts of regulatory changes on market stability and borrower behavior. Moving forward, it is essential to continue exploring how variations in public information precision influence financial markets' efficiency and stability. Research could delve deeper into understanding the mechanisms through which regulatory changes affect creditor-borrower dynamics and explore innovative methodologies to address existing gaps in the literature. By addressing these research gaps, scholars and policymakers can better design regulatory frameworks that promote transparency, mitigate systemic risks, and foster sustainable economic growth.

## REFERENCES

Armstrong, C. S., Barth, M. E., Jagolinzer, A. D., & Riedl, E. J. (2010). *The role of information and financial reporting in corporate governance and debt contracting*. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 77(2–3), 101667.

Baldenius, T., Deng, M., & Li, J. (2024). *Accounting information and risk shifting with asymmetrically informed creditors*. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 77(2–3), 101667. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2023.101667

Bertomeu, J., Magee, R. P., & Tsang, A. (2011). *From low-quality reporting to financial crises: Politics of disclosure regulation along the economic cycle*. Journal of Accounting and Economics.

Bushman, R. M., Chen, Q., Engel, E., & Smith, A. (2012). *Accounting discretion, loan loss provisioning, and discipline of banks' risk-taking*. Journal of Accounting and Economics.

Chaidir, M., & Yulia, A. (2022). Pengaruh Tax Avoidance, Profitablitas dan Debt to Equity Ratio Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan. Ekonomika, 6(2), 404-23.

Corona, C., Laux, C., Maffett, M., & Trujillo, M. A. (2015). *Accounting information quality, interbank competition, and bank risk-taking behavior*. Accounting Review.

Doblas-Madrid, A., Minnis, M., & Sutherland, A. (2013). *Sharing information in the credit market: Contract-level evidence from U.S. firms*. Journal of Financial Economics.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2023.101667

Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). *Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure*. Journal of Financial Economics.

Kusnanto, E. (2022). Performance Measurement Based on Balance Scorecard Perspective of Sustainable Leadership, Corporate Governance and Human Capital in Banking Industry. International Journal of Contemporary Accounting, 4(1), 41-58.

Li, J. (2017). *Accounting for banks, capital regulation, and bank risk-taking*. Journal of Banking and Finance.

Ruslaini, E. K., Santoso, S., & Marhandrie, D. *Diversity Management Strategies: Perspectives from Multinational Corporation*. Journal of Economics, Finance and Management Studies. https://doi.org/10.47191/jefms/v7-i3-18

Public Information Precision and Borrower Risk-Taking Implications for Financial Reporting Regulations

ORIGINALITY REPORT

| 13% | 11% | 8% | 9% |
|---|---|---|---|
| SIMILARITY INDEX | INTERNET SOURCES | PUBLICATIONS | STUDENT PAPERS |

PRIMARY SOURCES

| # | Source | Type | % |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | bpb-us-w2.wpmucdn.com | Internet Source | 2% |
| 2 | ejurnal.stie-trianandra.ac.id | Internet Source | 2% |
| 3 | Submitted to University of Glasgow | Student Paper | 1% |
| 4 | iris.unibocconi.it | Internet Source | 1% |
| 5 | Submitted to Kaplan College | Student Paper | 1% |
| 6 | ww.w.maaw.info | Internet Source | 1% |
| 7 | lbsresearch.london.edu | Internet Source | 1% |
| 8 | Submitted to University of York | Student Paper | 1% |
| 9 | ideas.repec.org | Internet Source | 1% |

| # | Source | % |
|---|---|---|
| 10 | jurnal2.untagsmg.ac.id<br>Internet Source | <1% |
| 11 | ojs.unm.ac.id<br>Internet Source | <1% |
| 12 | fdocument.org<br>Internet Source | <1% |
| 13 | Rohit Thakur, Anil Kumar. "Exploring the Influence of Reflectivity and Emissivity on Energy Consumption Across Varied Climate Zones in India", ASME Journal of Engineering for Sustainable Buildings and Cities, 2024<br>Publication | <1% |
| 14 | international.aripi.or.id<br>Internet Source | <1% |
| 15 | Radka Andasarova. "Capital adequacy of commercial banks in Bulgaria: Impact strategies", Management: Journal of Contemporary Management Issues, 2018<br>Publication | <1% |
| 16 | Submitted to Universiteit van Amsterdam<br>Student Paper | <1% |
| 17 | jurnal-mnj.stiekasihbangsa.ac.id<br>Internet Source | <1% |
| 18 | www-emerald-com-443.webvpn.sxu.edu.cn<br>Internet Source | <1% |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 19 | Amiruddin Jallo, Abdul Rahman Mus, Mursalim, Suryanti, Hisnol Jamali. "Effect of corporate social responsibility, good corporate governance and ownership structure on financial performance and firm value: A Study in Jakarta Islamic Index", INA-Rxiv, 2017<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 20 | e-journal.uingusdur.ac.id<br>Internet Source | <1 % |
| 21 | Miloš Fidler, Dejan Lavbič. "Improving information quality of Wikipedia articles with cooperative principle", Online Information Review, 2017<br>Publication | <1 % |
| 22 | Tim Baldenius, Mingcherng Deng, Jing Li. "Accounting information and risk shifting with asymmetrically informed creditors", Journal of Accounting and Economics, 2023<br>Publication | <1 % |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Exclude quotes | Off | Exclude matches | Off |
| Exclude bibliography | Off | | |

# Public Information Precision and Borrower Risk-Taking Implications for Financial Reporting Regulations

GRADEMARK REPORT

FINAL GRADE

/0

GENERAL COMMENTS

PAGE 1

PAGE 2

PAGE 3

PAGE 4

PAGE 5

PAGE 6

PAGE 7

PAGE 8

PAGE 9