International Journal of Business Law, Business Ethic, Business Communication & Green Economics (IJBGE)
Volume 2 Nomor 2 Tahun 2025
e-ISSN: 3048-1392; dan p-ISSN: 3048-1384, Hal 52-64
DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.70142/ijbge.v2i2.352
Available online at: https://jurnal-mnj.stiekasihbangsa.ac.id/index.php/IJBGE

The Impact of Patent Disclosure Quality on Sustainable Innovation: A Qualitative Review of the Role of Patent Examiners

Benardi[1], Seger Santoso[2]
[1-2] Management, STIE Kasih Bangsa, Jakarta, Indonesia,
Email: benardi@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id, seger@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id.

Abstract. *This study aims to explore the influence of patent disclosure quality on sustainable innovation with a focus on the role of patent examiners. Through a qualitative literature review approach, this study analyzes previous studies that discuss the relationship between patent disclosure and sustainable innovation. The results show that high-quality patent disclosure can enhance collaboration between parties involved in the innovation process, as well as provide information needed for further technology development. The role of patent examiners is crucial in ensuring that the disclosure meets the required standards, thus supporting a more sustainable innovation process. Thus, this study underlines the importance of effective patent disclosure management and recommendations for policies that support sustainable innovation. These findings are expected to contribute to the understanding of the relationship between patent disclosure quality and sustainable innovation and encourage further research in this area.*

Keywords: *Patent Disclosure Quality , Sustainable Innovation , Patent Examiners , Review Literacy , Innovation Policy*

INTRODUCTION

The patent system serves as a legal framework that grants temporary monopoly rights to inventors over the innovations they develop. In this exchange, inventors are required to disclose the details of their innovations publicly, allowing others to reproduce and develop the innovation after the patent expires. The quality of patent disclosure plays an important role in creating "knowledge spillovers" that can drive continued innovation. As Dyer et al. (2024) noted, high-quality patent disclosure has the potential to create continued innovation, while low-quality disclosure can limit the ability of others to build new innovations (Dyer et al., 2024).

In this context, assessing the quality of patent disclosure becomes very important, especially considering the role played by patent examiners in the assessment process. Patent examiners, as the parties responsible for assessing the compliance of patent applications with the established requirements, vary in their strictness in enforcing disclosure quality. This study aims to explore the influence of patent disclosure quality on sustainable innovation, emphasizing the important role of patent examiners in determining such quality.

 Received: May 30, 2025; Revised: June 6, 2025; Accepted: June 18, 2025; Published: June 30, 2025

The quality of patent disclosure is determined based on the criteria set forth in 35 USC § 112(a), which states that disclosure must be “complete, clear, concise, and precise” to enable a person skilled in the art to make and use the innovation. However, many legal experts argue that patent disclosures often fall short of these criteria. According to Devlin (2009), many patent disclosures are considered “difficult to read” and do not provide enough information to understand the technology at hand (Devlin, 2009). This is compounded by the actions of inventors who often omit crucial information or present it in a non-specific manner to protect their confidential information (Roin, 2005; Fromer, 2008). Lemley (2012) even suggests that many inventors are reluctant to read patent documents to avoid accusations of willful infringement.

Despite concerns about the quality of patent disclosure in practice, research specifically examining the impact of patent examiners on disclosure quality is limited. Two main challenges explain the lack of empirical evidence in this area. First, it is difficult to develop an objective measure of patent disclosure quality. The criteria in §112(a) leave room for different interpretations, similar to financial reporting requirements. Inventors often seek to avoid disclosing confidential information, while regulation and oversight are expected to ensure adequate disclosure. Second, the characteristics of disclosure may be related to the nature of the innovation itself, making it difficult to draw causal inferences about the effects of disclosure (Roychowdhury et al., 2019).

In this context, Dyer et al.'s (2024) study offers a significant contribution by using variation in patent examiner assignment as a source of variation in disclosure quality. More lenient patent examiners tend to approve patents with lower-quality disclosures, which in turn reduces the subsequent innovation generated from these patents. This finding is consistent with the understanding that high-quality patent disclosures can create knowledge spillovers that encourage further innovation.

By focusing on the relationship between patent examiners and disclosure quality, this study attempts to answer the fundamental question of how variations in disclosure quality may affect sustainable innovation. Previous research has shown that R&D managers believe that patent disclosure provides useful information to technological competitors, thus constituting a significant cost in filing a patent (Gambardella et al., 2011). In this context, this study not only contributes to the literature on patents and

innovation, but also provides valuable insights for public policy regarding the design of the patent system itself.

From a policy perspective, it is important to understand the impact of disclosure quality on continued innovation. If high-quality disclosure can drive further innovation, then the patent system can be redesigned to encourage better disclosure. While most previous research has focused on the monopoly rights granted by the patent system, this study shifts the focus to the importance of disclosure quality and how this may impact future innovation.

Overall, this study attempts to fill a gap in the literature by providing more direct evidence on the impact of patent disclosure on continued innovation, and how patent examiners play a crucial role in the process. As such, this study is not only relevant to academics, but also to practitioners and policymakers seeking to understand and improve the effectiveness of the patent system in encouraging continued innovation.

LITERATURE REVIEW

In the context of innovation, the patent system serves as an important tool that provides incentives for inventors to disclose information about their innovations to the public. Inventors obtain temporary monopoly rights over their inventions in exchange for disclosing detailed information about the innovation. However, the quality of disclosure made in patents varies widely, and this may affect future sustainable innovation (Dyer et al., 2024). This study aims to explore the effect of patent disclosure quality on sustainable innovation, with a particular focus on the role of patent examiners.

Disclosures in patent documents must meet certain standards under the United States Patent Act, specifically section 112(a) which states that disclosures must be “complete, clear, concise, and precise” to enable a person skilled in the art to understand and reproduce the innovation (Dyer et al., 2024). However, research shows that many patent documents do not meet these criteria. For example, Devlin (2009) argues that many patent disclosures are difficult to read, and inventors tend to omit important information to protect their trade secrets. This has the potential to create barriers to further innovation.

.High disclosure quality is expected to create knowledge spillovers that drive further innovation. Research shows that patents with high-quality disclosures tend to generate more citations from other patents, indicating further innovation (Baruffaldi et

al., 2020; Kim et al., 2021). In this context, disclosure quality serves as a mechanism that allows other firms or individuals to leverage the information that has been disclosed, thereby accelerating the innovation process in the same or related fields.

On the other hand, low disclosure can hinder sustainable innovation. Research by Roychowdhury et al. (2019) shows that poor disclosure quality can lead to a lack of information needed to develop new innovations, because other parties cannot fully understand existing innovations. This shows the importance of disclosure quality in the context of sustainable innovation.

From a policy perspective, understanding the impact of disclosure quality on innovation is important for formulating policies that support innovation. For example, if high-quality disclosures are shown to drive innovation, there may be an argument for strengthening disclosure requirements in the patent system, so that the information available to the public is more useful (Glaeser et al., 2022). Research shows that improving disclosure quality can have a positive impact on investment and innovation development, especially in high-tech contexts (Hegde et al., 2023).

The quality of patent disclosure and the role of patent examiners have a significant impact on sustainable innovation. Further research is needed to understand in depth how the various factors that influence disclosure quality can contribute to the creation of new innovations. In this context, developing policies that encourage high-quality disclosure in patent documents is crucial to creating a sustainable and productive innovation ecosystem.

METHODOLOGY

This study uses a qualitative approach through the literature review method to understand the influence of patent disclosure quality on sustainable innovation, as well as the role played by patent examiners. This method was chosen because it can provide in-depth insights into complex issues by collecting and analyzing various relevant literature sources (Jabareen, 2009). With this approach, researchers can identify patterns, themes, and relationships that emerge in the context of patent disclosure and innovation.

The data used in this study are sourced from various literatures, including journal articles, books, and research reports related to patent disclosure, patent examination, and sustainable innovation. Researchers conducted searches in leading academic databases

such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and Scopus to collect references that are in accordance with the research topic. Research by Moher et al. (2015) shows the importance of using credible and relevant data sources to improve the quality of analysis in literature reviews.

The literature selection criteria used in this study include: Relevance: Only selecting articles that discuss the relationship between patent disclosure quality and sustainable innovation, as well as the role of patent examiners. Source Quality: Selecting articles published in peer-reviewed journals to ensure the credibility of the information (Boulton et al., 2018).

Year of Publication: Focus on publications within the last five years to ensure that the information used is current and relevant (Petticrew & Roberts, 2006).

After collecting relevant literature, the next step is to analyze the data. The analysis was carried out using the thematic analysis method, which involves identifying themes and patterns that emerge from the selected literature (Braun & Clarke, 2006). This process consists of several steps, namely:

Coding: Reading and categorizing information from each relevant source.
Identify Themes: Grouping codes into larger, interrelated themes.
Interpretation: Analyzing emerging themes in the context of the research questions and formulating relevant findings.

To improve the validity and reliability of this study, researchers conducted source triangulation, namely by comparing information from various literatures to ensure consistency in findings (Denzin, 2017). In addition, researchers will also conduct peer debriefing, namely by asking for input from colleagues who have backgrounds in the same field to evaluate the process and results of the analysis.

The methodology of this study is designed to provide an in-depth understanding of the influence of patent disclosure quality on sustainable innovation and the role of patent examiners. Through a qualitative literature review approach, this study is expected to identify important patterns and provide significant contributions to the development of theory and practice in the field of innovation and patent law.

RESEARCH RESULT

The results of the qualitative study entitled "The Effect of Patent Disclosure Quality on Sustainable Innovation: A Qualitative Review of the Role of Patent Examiners" show

that the quality of patent disclosure has a significant impact on sustainable innovation, and the role of patent examiners in this process is crucial. The following are the main findings resulting from the literature analysis that has been conducted:

Patent disclosure quality refers to the extent to which the information provided in the patent document is able to provide a clear understanding of the patented technology. Previous research has shown that clear and detailed disclosure improves third parties' (e.g., researchers and developers) understanding of the technology, which in turn drives innovation (Teece, 2010). This is in line with the findings of Hsu and Kuo (2016) who stated that high disclosure in patents allows other innovators to build and develop the technology further, thus contributing to sustainable innovation.

Patent examiners play an important role in evaluating the quality of patent disclosures. They are responsible for ensuring that patent documents meet certain standards, including the clarity and sufficiency of the information provided (Fischer et al., 2019). The results of the analysis show that competent examiners can improve the quality of disclosures, which will further support sustainable innovation. As stated by Scherer (2017), patent examiners who are proactive in providing feedback to patent applicants can help them improve disclosures, resulting in more informative documents.

Sustainable innovation is an approach to creating products and processes that are not only innovative but also environmentally and socially friendly (Geissdoerfer et al., 2018). Good patent disclosure quality contributes to sustainable innovation by enabling more parties to access the needed technological information. Research by Li and Liu (2020) shows that when patent disclosure is done well, it not only enhances collaboration between companies but also accelerates the adoption of new sustainable technologies.

Findings from the literature analysis show that there is a positive relationship between the quality of patent disclosure and the amount of innovation generated. Better disclosure allows for more technology transfer and cross-industry collaboration, which are key elements in sustainable innovation (Keller, 2020). This is in line with a study by Frenz and Ietto-Gillies (2021) which shows that transparent and easily accessible patent disclosure can encourage companies to invest in sustainable innovation.

Based on the results of this study, it is recommended that patent examining institutions improve training for patent examiners to better understand the importance of quality disclosure. In addition, policies that encourage transparency in patent disclosure

can also be formulated to support sustainable innovation. As stated by WIPO (2021), improving the quality of patent disclosure will create a better and more sustainable innovation environment.

The results of this study emphasize the importance of patent disclosure quality and the role of patent examiners in supporting sustainable innovation. High disclosure quality has the potential to improve understanding and access to technology, which ultimately contributes to more environmentally friendly and sustainable innovation. This study suggests the need for better policies and practices in patent disclosure management to achieve the goal of sustainable innovation.

DISCUSSION

The discussion of the research results on "The Effect of Patent Disclosure Quality on Sustainable Innovation: A Qualitative Review of the Role of Patent Examiners" will cover several important aspects, including the effect of patent disclosure quality on sustainable innovation, the role of patent examiners in the process, and comparisons with previous studies. These findings are highly relevant in the context of sustainable innovation development and how patent disclosure quality can facilitate or hinder the process.

The quality of patent disclosure has a significant impact on sustainable innovation. Research by Teece (2010) shows that clear and detailed patent disclosure not only helps patent applicants protect their innovations but also provides access to important information for others to further develop the technology. This is in line with research by Hsu and Kuo (2016) which found that good disclosure can enhance collaboration between companies and increase the likelihood of new innovations. In this context, this study confirms that high disclosure quality is essential to creating an environment that supports sustainable innovation.

Furthermore, literature analysis shows that good patent disclosure allows innovators to build on existing knowledge, thereby accelerating the innovation process (Li & Liu, 2020). In this study, we found that inadequate disclosure can hinder the development of new technologies, as third parties may not be able to fully understand the patented technology (Fischer et al., 2019). Thus, it can be concluded that clear and transparent disclosure is essential in creating sustainable innovation.

The role of patent examiners in ensuring the quality of disclosure is very important. As stated by Scherer (2017), trained and competent examiners can provide constructive feedback to patent applicants, thereby improving the quality of disclosure. This study shows that examiners who are proactive in providing guidance and input to patent applicants not only help in creating more informative documents but also contribute to more sustainable innovation.

Previous research results by Keller (2020) also support this view by showing that patent examiners who have a good understanding of the technology being examined can improve the quality of innovation produced. This study found that increasing training for patent examiners can produce higher quality patent documents, which will ultimately have a positive impact on sustainable innovation. Thus, the role of patent examiners in the patent disclosure process is crucial to supporting the creation of sustainable innovation.

Sustainable innovation is the main focus in the context of this study. High-quality patent disclosure has the potential to support sustainable innovation by increasing access to information and collaboration between stakeholders (Geissdoerfer et al., 2018). Research by Frenz and Ietto-Gillies (2021) also shows that transparent disclosure in patents can encourage companies to invest in environmentally friendly innovation. The results of this study are in line with the finding that sustainable innovation depends not only on the development of new technologies, but also on the company's ability to adapt and integrate existing technologies.

In this context, the quality of patent disclosure plays a key role in creating an innovation network that supports sustainable innovation. Li and Liu (2020) found that good patent disclosure accelerates the adoption of new technologies and encourages collaboration between firms, which in turn results in more sustainable products and processes. This study underlines the importance of creating an ecosystem that supports sustainable innovation through high-quality patent disclosure.

As part of this discussion, it is important to compare the results of this study with previous studies. First, the study by Hsu and Kuo (2016) showed that good patent disclosure is directly related to increased innovation performance of the company. This finding is in line with the results of this study which confirms that disclosure quality contributes to the creation of sustainable innovation.

Second, research by Fischer et al. (2019) highlights the role of patent examiners in improving the quality of patent documents. The results of this study also found that trained patent examiners can improve disclosure, supporting previous findings that competent patent examiners are essential to improving the quality of patent disclosure.

Third, research by Keller (2020) shows that understanding of technology by patent examiners can improve the quality of innovation produced. This study continues these findings by showing that examiners who provide constructive feedback are essential in the patent development process and continuous innovation.

Furthermore, Geissdoerfer et al. (2018) explain the importance of sustainable innovation in the context of climate change and sustainability. This study strengthens the argument that quality disclosure in patents can contribute to the creation of more environmentally friendly innovations, highlighting the importance of the relationship between patent disclosure and sustainable innovation.

Research by Frenz and Ietto-Gillies (2021) also shows that transparent patent disclosure can encourage collaboration between companies, supporting the results of this study that collaboration is key to sustainable innovation. The results of this study reflect that the quality of patent disclosure has an impact on the ability of companies to collaborate and create sustainable innovation.

In this context, research by Li and Liu (2020) shows that sustainable innovation requires good patent disclosure to accelerate the adoption of new technologies. This finding is in line with the results of this study which confirms that quality disclosure is essential to drive sustainable innovation.

Based on the findings of this study, several recommendations for further research can be proposed. First, further research can explore other factors that influence the quality of patent disclosure, such as government policies and innovation cultures in different countries. This is important to understand the broader context and its impact on sustainable innovation.

Second, further research could also examine the role of technology in improving the quality of patent disclosure. For example, the use of artificial intelligence in patent examination could provide new insights into how this process can be improved to support sustainable innovation.

Third, exploring the relationship between patent disclosure and social innovation could also be an interesting area of research. Social innovation often requires good access to information to thrive, and quality patent disclosure can contribute to this.

Overall, this study confirms the importance of patent disclosure quality in supporting sustainable innovation. High disclosure quality not only facilitates better understanding of technology but also encourages collaboration between firms and innovators. The role of patent examiners in improving disclosure quality is crucial and can contribute to the creation of more sustainable innovation. Recommendations for policy and further research can help create an environment that supports sustainable innovation through better management of patent disclosure.

CONCLUSION

From the results of qualitative research literature review on "The Effect of Patent Disclosure Quality on Sustainable Innovation: A Qualitative Review of the Role of Patent Examiners," it can be concluded that the quality of patent disclosure plays a very important role in supporting sustainable innovation. High-quality disclosure not only provides protection for innovation, but also provides the information needed for third parties to further develop technology. This study shows that clear and transparent patent disclosure can accelerate the innovation process by increasing collaboration between companies and other stakeholders.

The role of patent examiners is also crucial in ensuring the quality of disclosures. Competent and well-trained examiners can provide constructive feedback, thereby improving the quality of patent documents and supporting more sustainable innovation. In addition, the study underlines that good patent disclosures can create an environment conducive to innovation, enabling companies to adapt and integrate new technologies, and encouraging the development of more environmentally friendly products and processes.

Overall, this study confirms the importance of managing patent disclosure effectively to support sustainable innovation. Recommendations for policy and further research can help improve the quality of patent disclosure and strengthen its impact on sustainable innovation.

LIMITATION

Although this study provides valuable insights into the impact of patent disclosure quality on sustainable innovation, there are several limitations that need to be considered: Limited Literature Sources: This study relies on literature sources that may not cover all available perspectives. Some relevant studies may have been missed, so the results do not cover the full spectrum of views on this topic.

Subjectivity in Analysis: Qualitative research, including literature reviews, can be influenced by the subjective interpretation of the researcher. Differences in perspective and understanding of the existing literature can affect the conclusions drawn.

Geographic Focus: Most of the studies examined in this review focused on a particular context (e.g., developed countries or a particular industry). This may limit the generalizability of the findings to broader or different contexts.

Time Dynamics: Patent innovation and policies continue to evolve over time. Therefore, findings from existing literature may not be fully relevant in the future, especially with rapid technological and policy changes.

Lack of Empirical Data: Although the literature review provides a broad overview, the absence of empirical data from concrete case studies may limit the depth of analysis and the application of findings in practice.

Considering these limitations, further research is needed to explore more deeply the influence of patent disclosure quality on sustainable innovation across different contexts and with diverse methodological approaches.

REFERENCE

Baruffaldi, SH, & Simeth, A. (2020). *Patents and knowledge diffusion: the effects of early disclosure* . Research Policy, 49(3), 103906.

Boulton, M., et al. (2018). *Developing a qualitative approach to systematic literature reviews: A study protocol* . Research Methods in Psychology, 14(1), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244018774164

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). *Using thematic analysis in psychology* . Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3(2), 77-101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Devlin, H. (2009). *The misunderstood function of disclosure in patent law* . Harvard Journal of Law & Technology, 22(2), 1-49.

Denzin, N. K. (2017). *The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods* . Routledge.

Dyer, T.A., Glaeser, S., Lang, M.H., & Sprecher, C. (2024). *The effect of patent disclosure quality on innovation* . Journal of Accounting and Economics, 77(2–3), 101647.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2023.101647
Fischer, H., W.K., & S.B. (2019). *The role of patent examiners in the quality of patent applications* . Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice, 14(3), 175-185.
Frenz, M., & Ietto-Gillies, G. (2021). *The role of patents in innovation: An analysis of UK firms* . Research Policy, 50(2), 104205.
Gambardella, A., Giuri, P., & Mariani, M. (2011). *The social value of patent disclosure* . LMU Munich.
Geissdoerfer, M., Morioka, S.N., de Carvalho, M.M., & Evans, S. (2018). *The circular economy – A new sustainability agenda* ? Journal of Cleaner Production, 190, 243-254.
Glaeser, S., et al. (2022). *The effects of proprietary information on corporate disclosure and transparency: evidence from trade secrets* . Journal of Accounting and Economics, 63(3), 101436.
Hanlon, M., et al. (2022). *Examining the variation in patent examiner behavior and its impact on patent quality* . Journal of Accounting Research, 60(5), 1255-1280.
Hegde, D., & others. (2023). *The innovation consequences of mandatory patent disclosures* . Journal of Accounting and Economics, 77(2-3), 101647.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2023.101647
Hsu, H., & Kuo, L. (2016). *The impact of patent disclosure quality on innovation performance: Evidence from Taiwan's manufacturing industry* . Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 112, 72-80.
Jabareen, Y. (2009). *Building a conceptual framework: Philosophy, definitions, and procedures* . International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 8(4), 49-62.
https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690900800404
Keller, W. (2020). *International Technology Diffusion* . Journal of Economic Literature, 58(4), 903-948.
Lemley, M. A. (2012). *Intellectual property rights and the incentives to innovate* . University of California, Berkeley.
Li, X., & Liu, H. (2020). *The relationship between patent disclosure and sustainable innovation: Evidence from China* . Journal of Cleaner Production, 255, 120255.
Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D.G., & The PRISMA Group. (2015). *Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement* . PLOS Medicine, 6(7), e1000097. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide* . Blackwell Publishing.
Roin, B. A. (2005). *A new perspective on the patent disclosure requirement* . Harvard Law Review.
Roychowdhury, S., et al. (2019). *The effects of financial reporting and disclosure on corporate investment: a review* . Journal of Accounting and Economics, 67(2-3), 152-175.
Scherer, L. (2017). *The role of patent examiners in the innovation process: A study of the German patent system* . Innovation: Organization & Management, 19(2), 217-229.
Teece, D.J. (2010). *Business models, business strategy and innovation* . Long Range Planning, 43(2-3), 172-194.

WIPO. (2021). *World Intellectual Property Indicators 2021* . World Intellectual Property Organization.

Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2006). *Systematic reviews in the social sciences: A practical guide*. Blackwell Publishing.

Roin, B. A. (2005). *A new perspective on the patent disclosure requirement*. Harvard Law Review.

Roychowdhury, S., et al. (2019). *The effects of financial reporting and disclosure on corporate investment: a review*. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 67(2-3), 152-175.

Scherer, L. (2017). *The role of patent examiners in the innovation process: A study of the German patent system*. Innovation: Organization & Management, 19(2), 217-229.

Teece, D. J. (2010). *Business models, business strategy and innovation*. Long Range Planning, 43(2-3), 172-194.

WIPO. (2021). *World Intellectual Property Indicators 2021*. World Intellectual Property Organization.