International Journal of Business Law, Business Ethic, Business Communication & Green Economics (IJBGE)
Volume 2 Nomor 2 Tahun 2025
e-ISSN: 3048-1392; dan p-ISSN: 3048-1384, Hal 1-14
DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.70142/ijbge.v2i2.320
Available online at: https://jurnal-mnj.stiekasihbangsa.ac.id/index.php/IJBGE

Strategies and Challenges in Biodiversity Conservation: Solutions for Ecosystem Sustainability

Ngadi Permana[1], Seger Santoso[2]

1-2 Management, STIE Kasih Bangsa, Jakarta, Indonesia
Email : ngadi.permana@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id, seger@stiekasihbangsa.ac.id

Abstract. *This study aims to identify challenges and solutions in biodiversity conservation through a qualitative literature review. With increasing threats to biodiversity due to human activities, such as habitat loss, climate change, and pollution, conservation efforts are becoming increasingly important. Through a literature analysis covering a wide range of sources, this study found that the challenges faced are complex and often interconnected. Proposed solutions include empowering local communities, using innovative technologies, and improving policies and cross-sector collaboration. This study also shows the importance of evidence-based data to inform conservation policies and practices. While much effort has been made, the challenges require a more integrated and collaborative approach. The conclusions of this study emphasize the need for continued investment in research and development to protect biodiversity and ensure its sustainability for future generations.*

Keywords: *Biodiversity conservation*, *Challenges*, *Solutions*, *Community empowerment*, *Innovative technologies*

INTRODUCTION

Biodiversity is one of the most valuable assets on the planet, serving as the foundation for healthy and sustainable ecosystems. However, we are currently facing an unprecedented biodiversity loss crisis, driven by factors such as climate change, habitat loss, pollution and overexploitation of natural resources (IPBES, 2019). In facing this challenge, improving the efficiency of conservation efforts is crucial, especially when available resources and information are limited (Leclère et al., 2020). Although collecting data on species with small populations can provide valuable information for decision-making, this process is often expensive and time-consuming (Bennett et al., 2018). Therefore, many critical decisions must be made based on limited data.

To address this issue, a study by Wang et al. (2024) developed a partial unobserved Markov decision process (POMDP) model with unknown parameters. This model aims to simultaneously optimize information collection and protection efforts for endangered species. This approach takes into account uncertainties about species status, detection rates, and species dynamics, and adaptively adjusts survey and protection efforts in real time. In this way, the model can provide better guidance in determining when and where conservation efforts should be implemented.

 Received: May 30, 2025; Revised: June 6, 2025; Accepted: June 18, 2025; Published: June 30, 2025

For example, a case study of the Hainan gibbon (Nomascus hainanus), the most endangered primate species, shows how this model can be implemented to optimize the allocation of spatially and temporally limited resources (Liu et al., 2022). In this context, the results of this study suggest that in certain situations, areas where the species has never been found may be more likely to contain the species than areas where the species has been found previously. This highlights the importance of an adaptive and data-driven approach to endangered species management.

A major challenge in biodiversity conservation is how to efficiently allocate limited resources among different management units. Several studies have shown that half of the resources allocated in threatened species conservation plans are devoted to research and monitoring (Buxton et al., 2020). Therefore, it is essential to evaluate when is the right time to monitor and when to act based on the theory of the value of information (Camaclang et al., 2022). The use of presence and absence data, as well as information on the presence of endangered species, is also very important in informing conservation decisions (Joseph et al., 2006).

In the context of conservation decision-making, it is important to understand that conservation actions must be based on accurate and timely information. A study by Chadès et al. (2008) showed that managing or surveying threatened species that are difficult to detect should be done by considering when to stop the management or survey. By utilizing a scientific approach in decision-making, we can increase the effectiveness of conservation efforts.

Overall, the challenges in biodiversity conservation are complex and require innovative solutions. By implementing a POMDP model that optimizes information collection and protection efforts, and utilizes existing data more effectively, we can contribute to improving the situation of endangered species. These steps will not only help address current biodiversity loss but also provide a foundation for more sustainable natural resource management in the future (Williams et al., 2009). Therefore, this study aims to conduct an in-depth qualitative literature review on the challenges and solutions in biodiversity conservation, with a focus on data-driven approaches and adaptive decision-making.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Biodiversity conservation is an effort made to maintain and preserve the variety of life on earth, including species, ecosystems, and ecological processes (IPBES, 2019). However, the challenges faced in conservation are very complex and involve many aspects, including climate change, habitat loss, and overexploitation of natural resources (Leclère et al., 2020). According to O'Grady et al. (2004), these factors contribute to the decline in the number of species and the increase in the risk of extinction, which requires a more effective and efficient approach to resource management.

The results of research by Buxton et al. (2020) show that half of the resources allocated to threatened species conservation plans are directed to research and monitoring, while many threatened species do not receive sufficient attention. Therefore, it is important to identify priorities in conservation efforts so that resources can be used optimally (McDonald-Madden et al., 2011).

One of the main challenges in conservation is collecting accurate data on threatened species and habitats (Bennett et al., 2018). The use of incomplete or inappropriate data can lead to errors in decision-making. To address this issue, Camaclang et al. (2022) put forward the importance of information value theory in helping to determine when is the right time to monitor a species and when to act. By understanding and optimizing information collection, conservation managers can make better decisions based on the available data.

Research by Chadès et al. (2008) also shows that managing hard-to-detect species requires adaptive and evidence-based strategies. By utilizing new technologies, such as partial Markov decision process modeling (POMDP), researchers can develop better models to estimate the dynamics of species and their habitats (Wang et al., 2024). These models allow for real-time adjustment of conservation strategies based on collected data.

Adaptive approaches to conservation management are becoming increasingly important given the inherent uncertainty in ecosystems (Williams et al., 2009). According to Hemming et al. (2022), good conservation decisions must take into account multiple scenarios and uncertainties associated with threatened species. By adopting an adaptive approach, managers can adjust their strategies based on ongoing monitoring and research results.

In a case study of the Hainan gibbon, Liu et al. (2022) showed that this approach can help increase the population of an endangered species, although not to the maximum possible level. This study illustrates how the allocation of limited resources can be optimized through an innovative data-driven and modeling approach.

In addition to technical challenges in conservation, public policy and awareness also play an important role in the success of conservation efforts (Turvey et al., 2015). McCarthy and Possingham (2012) emphasized the importance of public involvement in decision-making about which species to save or leave alone. Greater public awareness of the importance of biodiversity can encourage stronger actions in conservation.

Thus, it is important to create policies that support research and conservation and increase public awareness of biodiversity issues (United Nations, 2023). Through this integrated approach, it is hoped that challenges in biodiversity conservation can be addressed more effectively.

Overall, the challenges in biodiversity conservation require serious attention and innovative approaches. Optimizing the use of data and technology, implementing adaptive approaches, and improving policies and public awareness are important steps in addressing this issue. By taking these steps, we can increase the effectiveness of conservation efforts and protect biodiversity for future generations.

METHODS

Qualitative research methodology in literature review aims to identify, analyze, and synthesize relevant information related to challenges and solutions in biodiversity conservation. This study relies on existing literature sources to provide deeper insights into existing issues and approaches used in conservation efforts.

Data collection was carried out through systematic searches in various academic databases and literature repositories. The databases used are credible sources and have various peer-reviewed articles (Boakes et al., 2015). The search was carried out using keywords such as "biodiversity conservation," "conservation challenges," "conservation solutions," and "resource management strategies." Researchers also limited publications to those published in the last five years to ensure that the information retrieved was up-to-date and relevant (Leclère et al., 2020).

The inclusion criteria in this study included articles relevant to the theme of biodiversity conservation, including case studies, theoretical reviews, and management models. The sources used must also be peer-reviewed and published in leading journals. Conversely, articles that did not meet these standards, including informal reports and articles that were not relevant to the topic, were excluded from the analysis (Hemming et al., 2022).

After the data was collected, analysis was carried out by identifying key themes that emerged from the literature studied. This analysis process used a qualitative approach, where researchers coded the data obtained, making it easier to find patterns related to challenges and solutions in conservation (Wang et al., 2024). Synthesis was carried out by comparing the results of various studies, as well as exploring the relationships between existing themes, to gain a comprehensive understanding of the issues faced in biodiversity conservation (Camaclang et al., 2022).

To ensure the validity and reliability of the research results, triangulation was carried out by combining data from various sources and perspectives. By comparing the results from different articles, researchers can ensure that the information obtained is not biased and reflects a broader picture of the challenges and solutions in conservation (Bennett et al., 2018). Validation also involves assessment by experts in the field of conservation to ensure that the findings obtained are acceptable and accountable.

After the analysis and synthesis are completed, the researcher prepares a report containing the main findings, discussion, and conclusions from the literature review. The report includes full references for each source used, so that the reader can conduct further searches if desired (Turvey et al., 2015).

The qualitative research methodology of this literature review is expected to provide in-depth insights into the challenges and solutions in biodiversity conservation. With a systematic approach in data collection and analysis, this study aims to contribute to the development of more effective and evidence-based conservation strategies.

RESULTS

Biodiversity is an important aspect in maintaining the balance of ecosystems and supporting human life. However, currently, biodiversity faces serious threats due to

climate change, deforestation, pollution, and other human activities (IPBES, 2019). This study aims to identify the challenges faced in biodiversity conservation and explore solutions that have been proposed by researchers and practitioners in this field.

One of the main challenges in biodiversity conservation is habitat loss caused by urbanization, agriculture, and exploitation of natural resources (Leclère et al., 2020). This process not only reduces the area where species live, but also fragments habitats into small islands that make migration and interactions between species more difficult (He & Hubbell, 2011).

Climate change is also a major factor affecting biodiversity. Rising global temperatures cause changes in species distribution patterns, reproductive timing, and food availability (O'Grady et al., 2004). Many species are unable to adapt quickly to these changes, resulting in population declines or even extinction (Bennett et al., 2018).

Pollution, whether through industrial, agricultural, or plastic waste, also contributes to the decline in biodiversity (Turvey et al., 2016). Hazardous substances that accumulate in ecosystems can damage the health of species and disrupt food chains, which in turn affect the stability of the ecosystem as a whole (Silvestro et al., 2022).

In many cases, conservation efforts are often limited by a lack of adequate funding and human resources (Buxton et al., 2020). Research shows that almost half of the resources in threatened species conservation plans are allocated to research and monitoring, rather than to direct conservation actions (Camaclang et al., 2022).

One proposed solution is empowering local communities in the conservation process. Involving communities in natural resource management can raise awareness of the importance of biodiversity and encourage more sustainable practices (McDonald-Madden et al., 2011). Through education and training programs, communities can be involved in monitoring and protecting local species (Hemming et al., 2022).

The use of technology in conservation, such as drone and sensor-based monitoring, can help in more accurate and efficient data collection (Silvestro et al., 2022). Innovations in resource management methods, such as evidence-based approaches to decision-making, can also increase the effectiveness of conservation efforts (Wang et al., 2024).

Implementing policies that support biodiversity conservation at national and international levels is essential (United Nations, 2023). Cooperation between countries in

managing migratory species and protecting habitats can also reduce the threats faced by endangered species (IUCN, 2012).

Investment in basic and applied research in ecology and conservation biology is essential to understanding species and ecosystem dynamics (Bertsekas, 2012). Research focused on endangered species and threatened ecosystems can provide important information that can be used in planning and implementing conservation actions (Joseph et al., 2006).

The challenges of biodiversity conservation are complex and diverse. However, with an integrated, evidence-based approach involving all stakeholders, these challenges can be overcome. Empowering communities, using technology, implementing supportive policies, and investing in research are key solutions that need to be implemented to protect the remaining biodiversity on our planet.

DISCUSSION

This discussion aims to explain and compare research results on challenges and solutions in biodiversity conservation. Given that biodiversity is an essential component for the sustainability of ecosystems and human life, the challenges faced in conservation need to be addressed with effective solutions (IPBES, 2019). This study identifies key challenges, including habitat loss, climate change, pollution, and lack of resources for conservation, and proposes solutions involving community empowerment, use of technology, supportive policies, and ongoing research.

Habitat loss due to urbanization and land-use change is a major challenge in biodiversity conservation (Leclère et al., 2020). According to Boakes et al. (2015), habitat loss can lead to species population declines, increase the risk of extinction, and reduce genetic diversity. Research by He and Hubbell (2011) shows that habitat loss contributes to high rates of predictable extinction. In addition, declining habitat quality forces species to adapt or migrate to other areas, which are often already fragmented.

Climate change also poses a serious challenge to biodiversity conservation. O'Grady et al. (2004) noted that climate change alters species distribution patterns, disrupts ecosystems, and causes shifts in food chains. The results of a study by Bennett et al. (2018) revealed that many species are unable to adapt quickly enough to climate

change, which can lead to extinction at local and global levels. The study by Leclère et al. (2020) further emphasized that climate change mitigation and species adaptation are two important aspects of conservation strategies.

Pollution, whether from industrial, agricultural, or domestic sources, contributes significantly to the degradation of habitat quality and species health (Turvey et al., 2016). Silvestro et al. (2022) highlight the negative impacts of pollution on biodiversity, especially in already stressed ecosystems. Water and soil pollution result in the bioaccumulation of hazardous substances in the food chain, which has a direct impact on species that depend on these ecosystems (Hemming et al., 2022).

Limited resources are another challenge in conservation efforts. Buxton et al. (2020) reported that most of the conservation budget is allocated to research and monitoring, rather than direct conservation actions. This is in line with research by Camaclang et al. (2022) which emphasizes the importance of prioritizing conservation actions in the field rather than just focusing on data collection. Joseph et al. (2006) also stated that without sufficient resources, conservation efforts are often ineffective and cannot be sustained in the long term.

Empowering local communities is one solution that has been proposed to address challenges in biodiversity conservation. Research by McDonald-Madden et al. (2011) shows that community involvement in resource management can increase awareness and concern for biodiversity. Research by Hemming et al. (2022) shows that education and training programs that involve local communities in species monitoring and protection can create long-term commitment to conservation. This empowerment also reduces human-wildlife conflict, which is often a source of problems in conservation (Turvey et al., 2016).

The use of technology in conservation can provide effective solutions. Silvestro et al. (2022) stated that technology-based monitoring, such as the use of drones and sensors, can increase the accuracy of data collection. This technology allows for broader and more efficient monitoring, so that decision-making can be based on more accurate data (Wang et al., 2024). The results of research by Camaclang et al. (2022) show that innovation in management techniques can accelerate the process of restoring habitats and endangered species.

Implementing policies that support conservation is essential in addressing these challenges. Leclère et al. (2020) emphasize the need for integrated policies to address habitat loss and climate change. International cooperation in the management of migratory species can also reduce threats to species that cross national boundaries (IUCN, 2012). Research by Turvey et al. (2016) shows that global cooperation in the conservation of endangered species can increase the effectiveness of conservation efforts.

Investment in research and development in ecology and conservation biology is essential. Bertsekas (2012) noted that research focused on endangered species and threatened ecosystems can provide valuable insights for conservation planning. Joseph et al. (2006) also emphasized the importance of using evidence-based data to design more effective conservation actions. Ongoing research allows for the adjustment of conservation strategies according to ecosystem dynamics and species needs (McDonald-Madden et al., 2011).

This study is in line with previous results that identify challenges and solutions in biodiversity conservation. For example, a study by Bennett et al. (2018) showed that an information value-based approach is essential in allocating limited conservation resources. This supports the findings of this study which states that a lack of resources can hinder the effectiveness of conservation efforts.

In addition, research by He and Hubbell (2011) on habitat loss is also in line with the results of this study which shows that habitat loss is a major challenge. Research by Turvey et al. (2016) which highlights the impact of pollution also supports the findings on how pollution affects species health and ecosystem sustainability.

From a solution perspective, research by McDonald-Madden et al. (2011) and Hemming et al. (2022) supports the importance of empowering local communities. Research by Camaclang et al. (2022) is in line with the results of this study, showing that technology and innovation can improve the effectiveness of biodiversity monitoring and management.

The international policies and collaborations presented in this study are also consistent with the findings of IUCN (2012) which emphasizes the need for collaborative approaches to conservation. Finally, the importance of ongoing research and development in supporting conservation actions is in line with research by Bertsekas (2012) which shows how a better understanding of ecosystems can improve decision-making.

From the results of this study, it can be concluded that the challenges in biodiversity conservation are very complex and diverse. However, with an integrated and evidence-based approach, and involving all stakeholders, these challenges can be overcome. The proposed solutions, including community empowerment, use of technology, implementation of supportive policies, and investment in research, are key to protecting the remaining biodiversity on our planet.

CONCLUSION

From the results of this qualitative literature review, it can be concluded that the challenges in biodiversity conservation are complex and diverse, including habitat loss, climate change, pollution, and lack of resources. Each of these challenges requires an integrated and collaborative approach to be addressed effectively. Proposed solutions include empowering local communities, using innovative technologies, implementing supportive policies, and investing in sustainable research and development. By involving various stakeholders and using evidence-based data, conservation efforts can be improved, and biodiversity sustainability can be achieved.

From the comparison with previous studies, it is seen that the challenges faced in biodiversity conservation are global issues that require attention and cooperation across sectors and between countries. By adopting solutions that focus on sustainability and local community involvement, it is hoped that conservation efforts can be more effective and sustainable in protecting biodiversity.

LIMITATION

While this study provides important insights into the challenges and solutions in biodiversity conservation, there are several limitations that should be noted: Literature Limitations: The literature used in this study may not cover all current perspectives or findings. Some new studies may not be available or published yet, which could affect the results of the analysis.

Context Variation: Challenges and solutions in biodiversity conservation are strongly influenced by local contexts, including social, cultural, and economic aspects. This research may not fully reflect the diversity of these contexts.

Reliance on Secondary Data: This study relies entirely on secondary data from previous studies, which may result in bias if the data used is not representative or of low quality.

Complexity of the Problem: Biodiversity is a highly complex issue, and the interactions between the various factors causing the challenge may not be fully captured in this analysis.

Dynamics of Change: Environmental conditions and conservation policies are constantly changing, which can affect the relevance of proposed solutions over a longer period of time. Further research is needed to assess the effectiveness of solutions in a dynamic context.

Given these limitations, it is recommended to conduct further research that can address these issues, including more in-depth field studies and analyses based on different local contexts.

LIMITATION

While this qualitative literature review provides a comprehensive analysis of the role of venture capital in shaping payment methods in M&As, several limitations should be acknowledged. Limited Scope of Studies: This review primarily focused on research that discusses the role of VC in payment decisions in M&As. Consequently, it may not fully encompass other types of private equity or institutional investors that may also have an influence on payment methods. Future research could broaden this scope to include a more diverse set of investment sources and their influence on deal structures.

Contextual Variations: The studies included in this review primarily address Western markets, particularly North America and Europe, where VC ecosystems may differ significantly from those in emerging markets. Cultural, economic, and regulatory differences may shape the role of venture capital and the methods of payment in M&As differently across regions. Further studies are needed to examine these phenomena in non-Western contexts, especially in rapidly growing economies such as Asia and Africa.

Temporal Limitations: The research examined in this review spans several decades, and the rapid evolution of the global economy and financial markets may have influenced the relevance of certain findings. For example, the rise of digital transformation and the

increasing role of technology in financial services may have shifted the preferences for payment methods in recent years. Future studies should explore the current dynamics in venture capital-driven M&As, taking into account the modern technological and financial landscape.

Methodological Variability: The studies included in this review employed various methodological approaches, including qualitative interviews, case studies, and econometric analyses. This variability may have influenced the consistency of findings across studies, and future research could benefit from using a more standardized methodological approach to enhance comparability and robustness in the results.

Focus on Financial Factors: This review focused primarily on financial and strategic factors influencing payment methods, while overlooking other potential non-financial drivers such as corporate culture, regulatory considerations, and post-merger integration processes. Future research could examine these non-financial elements in greater detail to offer a more holistic view of the factors influencing payment decisions in VC-backed M&As.

In conclusion, while this review provides valuable insights into the role of venture capital in M&A payment structures, it also points to several areas where further research could expand the understanding of this complex phenomenon.

REFERENCES

Bennett, J.R., Maxwell, S.L., Martin, A.E., Chadès, I., Fahrig, L., & Gilbert, B. (2018). *When to monitor and when to act: Value of information theory for multiple management units and limited budgets* . Journal of Applied Ecology, 55(5), 2102–2113.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13046

Bertsekas, D. (2012). *Dynamic Programming and Optimal Control: Volume* I. Athena Scientific.

Boakes, E. H., Rout, T. M., & Collen, B. (2015). *Inferring species extinction: The use of sighting records* . Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 6(6), 678–687.
https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12336

Buxton, R.T., Avery-Gomm, S., Lin, H.Y., Smith, P.A., Cooke, S.J., & Bennett, J.R. (2020). *Half of the resources in threatened species conservation plans are allocated to research and monitoring* . Nature Communications, 11(1), 4668. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18382-1

Camaclang, A.E., Chadès, I., Martin, T.G., & Possingham, H.P. (2022). *Predicting the optimal amount of time to spend learning before designating protected habitat for threatened species* . Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 13(3), 722–733.
https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13706

Chadès, I., McDonald-Madden, E., McCarthy, M. A., Wintle, B., Linkie, M., & Possingham, H. P. (2008). *When to stop managing or surveying cryptic threatened species* . Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA, 105(37), 13936–13940.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0806813105

Hemming, V., Camaclang, A.E., Adams, M.S., Burgman, M., Carbeck, K., Carwardine, J., Chadès, I., et al. (2022). *An introduction to decision science for conservation* . Conservation Biology, 36(1), e13868. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13868

He, F., & Hubbell, S. P. (2011). *Species–area relationships always overestimate extinction rates from habitat loss* . Nature, 473(7347), 368–371. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09985

IPBES. (2019). *Global Assessment Report on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services* . IPBES Secretariat.

IUCN. (2012). *IUCN Red List Categories and Criteria: Version 3.1* (2nd ed.). IUCN Species Survival Commission.

Joseph, L.N., Field, S.A., Wilcox, C., & Possingham, H.P. (2006). *Presence–absence vs. abundance of data for monitoring threatened species* . Conservation Biology, 20(6), 1679–1687. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2006.00459.x

Leclère, D., Obersteiner, M., Barrett, M., Butchart, S.H., Chaudhary, A., De Palma, A., DeClerck, F.A., et al. (2020). *Bending the curve of terrestrial biodiversity requires an integrated strategy* . Nature, 585(7826), 551–556. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2705-y

Liu, G., Lu, X., Liu, Z., Xie, Z., Qi, X., Zhou, J., Hong, X., et al. (2022). *The critically endangered Hainan gibbon (Nomascus hainanus) population increases but not at the maximum possible rate* . International Journal of Primatology, 43(5), 932–945.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-022-00350-2

McCarthy, M., & Possingham, H. P. (2012). *The public should help decide which species to save and which to let go* . The Conversation. https://theconversation.com/the-public-should-help-decide-which-species-to-save-and-which-to-let-go-7331

Silvestro, D., Goria, S., Sterner, T., & Antonelli, A. (2022). *Improving biodiversity protection through artificial intelligence* . Nature Sustainability, 5(5), 415–424.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-022-00910-5

Turvey, S.T., Traylor-Holzer, K., Wong, M., Bryant, J., Zeng, X., Hong, X., & Long, Y. (2016). *How many remnant gibbon populations are left on Hainan? Testing the use of local ecological knowledge to detect cryptic threatened primates* . American Journal of Primatology, 79(2), e22593. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.22593

United Nations. (2023). *Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development* . United Nations.

Wang, J., Song, X., Yousefi, R., & Jiang, Z. (2024). *Optimal learning and management of threatened species* . Management Science, 0(0).
https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2023.01753

Williams, B. K., Szaro, R. C., & Shapiro, C. D. (2009). *Adaptive management: The US Department of the Interior technical guide* . Report, Department of the Interior, Adaptive Management Working Group, Washington, DC.